

QUARTERLY CUSTOMER MEETING – Tuesday, Aug 11, 2009
2WTC River Room

Attendees:

PGE T&RS: Raul Madarang, Brad Pederson, Bobby Smallwood, Cliff Garner, John Walker, Matt Spencer, Matt Richard, George Hutcherson, Derrick Harris, Jennifer Galaway, Philip Augustin Raul Madarang, Jeff Newby

PGEM: John Morton

I. Introduction (Raul): Raul passed out the agenda and gave overview. Attendees introduced themselves. No participants on Polycom.

II. Network Resource Business Practice (Matt Richard.): Rev 3, effective July 16, 2009. Matt announced the change to Business Practice—revision, clean-up and other formatting. Clarification was made for a customer comment—including the word ‘agent’ as used in the attestations. PGEM had a question during 2nd Quarter meeting regarding wording of the term, “Network Resources” versus “Designated Network Resources”—Matt R. clarified that it was decided to leave this as Network Resources because that is the language used in the OATT.

PGEM Question: What about the undesignation of Network Resources within one year as was presented in the revised BPA OATT (note that FERC did not approve of BPA’s OATT).

PGE T&RS Answer: Matt Richard responded that we will review this however it has to do with calculating ATC and BPA uses a flow-based methodology while PGE does not.

III. Monthly TSR Process (Matt Richard): John Morton of PGEM had requested establishing a business practice for TSRs in an email received in prior to this meeting.

PGEM Question: What is the timeline for processing reservations?

PGE T&RS Answer: Matt Richard exhibited NAESB Standard Business

Practices section WEQ-001, table 4.2 which gave guidelines for all TSR timing requirements. Cliff asked if the PGE tariff refers to this table. Matt R. responded that the List of Standards are included as part of tariff as well as referenced in the Prescheduling Business Practice. (The PGE tariff section 4.2 references the NAESB standards). Matt R. advised that other utilities have copied the standards and put into business practices.

PGEM Question: What can PGEM do to make reservation process easier for PGET?

PGE T&RS Answer: Matt R. responded that submitting reservations earlier would be ideal. Advised that submitting reservation a day prior to the pre-scheduling day is not good—reservations submitted five-to-ten days in advance is preferable. Matt R. and John Morton discussed problems regarding reservation scheduling in previous months. John Morton and Matt R. conceded problems with vacation time during process. Inquiry resolved.

IV. New Customer Application Process for Transmission Service (John W): John Walker mentioned that Jim Eden from PGEM suggested a new application process from the request for service. John Morton was unfamiliar with this process directly. Issue to be addressed at later time.

V. Billing Practice for Hourly Non-Firm Reservations (Cliff): Practice posted on July 10th and effective August 1st. Cliff explained new practice regarding applying reductions to hourly reservations, and if daily cap is less than the daily service charge for net MWs, then the daily cap is applied.

VI. Transmission Planning Updates:

Cascade Crossing (Philip): Official project name changed to Cascade Crossing to better represent the intent of the project. Phase One completed in August—taking project through WECC process. Phase Two study activities beginning. Interconnection activities beginning with the NOI to be

submitted in fall for permitting process. Gave brief explanation of NOI, per Matt R.

NTTG Update (Jeff): Jeff advised NTTG Stakeholder Meeting in Boise took place; interested parties gave updates. Finishing some study work, power flows in regards to final report. Will spend Quarter 8 reviewing the biennial report and getting it out. Will be finalized during Quarter 8. Economic analysis done on NTTG footprint, which was presented at Stakeholder Meeting. No questions.

Longer Term Transmission Plan Update (Derrick): Derrick gave presentation regarding longer-term plan (6-10 years) and gave disclaimer regarding confidentiality of information. Blue Lake-Gresham, Horizon 230kv, Harborton 230kv, Pearl-Sherwood 230kv projects were detailed. This presentation was featured on Power Point. Presentation featured map of proposed projects. Presentation available upon request.

PGEM Question: What kind of effects will the St. Mary's Trojan Horizon have on South of Alston capacity?

PGE T&RS Answer: Derrick doesn't have available information on this. John Morton clarified length of time; this is 6-10 years out. Specific date to be given at later time. No other questions.

VII. Upcoming 2009 Quarterly Forum Meeting & Network Operating Committee. Meeting (Raul): Advised this takes place on November 10th.

VIII. Q&A: No other questions.

Meeting adjourned by Raul Madarang, Facilitator, at 1:30pm.