

DISCUSSION OF [REDACTED] (“Customer”) GENERATION FEASIBILITY STUDY RESULTS FOR THE PROPOSED GENERATING FACILITY AT DAN RIVER STEAM STATION (230 KV CONNECTION). TOTAL SUMMER PEAK OUTPUT IS EXPECTED TO BE 932 MW

REPORT DATE: July 27, 2007

Following are the results of the Generation Feasibility Study for the installation of 932 MW Summer/1010 MW Winter of generating capacity in Rockingham County, NC. The site is located next to Dan River Steam generating station and has an estimated Commercial Operation Date of June 1, 2010.

A. Study Assumptions and Methodology

The power flow cases used in the study were developed from the Duke internal year 2010 summer peak case. The results of Duke's annual screening were used as a baseline to identify the impact of the new generation. All cases were modified to include 932 MW of additional generation at Dan River Steam Station. To determine the thermal impact on Duke's transmission system, the new generation was modeled with a new 230 kV bus at Dan River Steam Station. A 230 kV connection from Dan River to Sadler Tie Station is required. The economic generation dispatch was also changed by adding the new generation and forcing it on prior to the dispatch of the remaining Duke Control Area units. One of the existing smaller coal units (Dan River Unit 1, 68 MW) was assumed to be retired in coincident with the addition of the new combined cycle facility. The study cases were re-dispatched, solved and saved for use.

The thermal study uses the results of Duke Power Delivery's annual internal screening as a baseline to determine the impact of the new generation. The annual internal screening identifies violations of the Duke Power Transmission System Planning Guidelines and this information is used to develop the transmission asset expansion plan. The annual screening provides branch loading for postulated transmission line or transformer contingencies under various generation dispatches. The thermal study results following the inclusion of the new generation were obtained by the same methods, and are therefore comparable to the annual screening. The results are compared to identify significant impacts to the Duke transmission system.

Fault studies are performed by modeling the new generator and previously queued generation ahead of Dan River in the interconnection queue. Any significant changes in fault duty resulting from the new generator's installation are identified. Various faults are placed on the system and their impact versus equipment rating is evaluated.

Reactive Capability is evaluated by modeling a facility's generators and step-up transformers (GSU's) at various taps and system voltage conditions. The reactive capability of the facility can be affected by many factors including generator capability limits, excitation limits, and bus voltage limits. The evaluation determines whether sufficient reactive support will be available at the Connection Point.

B. Thermal Study Results

The following network upgrades were identified as being attributable the studied generating facility:

Facility Name/ Upgrade	Existing Size/Type	Proposed Size/Type	Mileage	Estimate d Cost
1. Add 1 230/100 kV transformer at Sadler	N/A	400 MVA	N/A	\$5M
2. Elon 100 kV lines (Reidsville Tap to Glen Raven) Rebuild	336	B954 ACSR	18.68	\$16.8M
3. Elon 100 kV lines (Sadler to Reidsville Tap)	B336 ACSR	B954 ACSR	2.92	\$2.6M
4. Alamance 100 kV lines (Burlington to Mebane)	795 ACSR	B795 ACSR	4.61	\$3M
5. Convert Reidsville 100 kV lines (Dan River to Sadler) to 230 kV - Rebuild/Terminal/Bus	336 ACSR	B2156 ACSR	8.14	\$22.8M
6. Wolf Creek 100 kV lines (Sadler to Reidsville Tap) Rebuild	336 ACSR	B954 ACSR	8.17	\$7.4M
7. Beulah 100 kV lines (Stamey to EU del 18)	795 ACSR	B795 ACSR	1.09	\$0.7M
8. Kernersville 100 kV lines (North Greensboro to Jessuptown tap) upgrade (needed by 2012)	795 ACSR	B795 ACSR	6.63	\$4.3M
CUSTOMER TOTAL COST ESTIMATE				\$62.6M

C. Fault Duty Study Results

There were no breaker upgrades identified in the Feasibility Study attributable to the Dan River facility.

D. Reactive Capability Study Results

With the proposed Dan River 932 MW facility, the level of reactive support supplied by the units has been determined to be acceptable at this time. Evaluation of MVAR flow and voltages in the vicinity of Dan River indicates adequate reactive support exists in the region. Should future studies show the need for additional support, Duke Power integrated resource planning will evaluate solutions and make appropriate changes to the system.

Study completed by: _____
Laura Lee, P.E., Duke Energy

Reviewed by: _____
**Henry E. Ernst, Jr.,
Duke Energy – Director, Transmission Planning Carolinas**