

## Meeting Notes

### **BHCT 2014 Q2 Transmission Coordination and Planning Committee (TCPC) Stakeholder Meeting June 19, 2014 – 9:30 am MT**

#### **Attendees:**

##### ***Attending in person:***

Wes Wingen (BHC)  
Kenna Hagan (BHC)  
Jim Farby (BHC)

##### ***Attending by phone conference:***

Ed Peper (BHC)  
Chris Neil (Office of Consumer Counsel)  
Ann Hendrickson (BHC)  
Tom Wenzl (BHC)  
Betty Mirzayi (PSCo)

#### **TCPC Stakeholder Meeting Agenda:**

1. Welcome and Introductions
2. TCPC Policies
  - Confidentiality
  - Anti-Trust Policy
3. BHCE Planning Department Update
  - Generator Interconnection Queue
  - Transmission Service Requests
  - Capital Budget Projects/Ten Year Plan
  - Regulatory Update
4. 2014 LTP Study Process
  - Study Scope
  - Methodology
  - Data Collection/Uncertainty Scenarios
5. Stakeholder Input & Questions
6. Q3 Meeting Preliminary Schedule: September 18, 2014 09:30-11:00 AM (Mountain Prevailing Time)

## TCPC Meeting Notes

Jim Farby gave the presentation which included an overview of ongoing Colorado regulatory efforts and a discussion on the transmission planning groups that BHCE participates in.

Jim discussed the planned system upgrades (10-Year Transmission Plan) and the 2014 Study Scope (5 and 10-Year Scenarios). Jim reaffirmed that the goal of the TCPC process is to encourage active stakeholder comments and suggestions. Jim also discussed the official form for stakeholders to submit requests for study scenario and project alternatives. The form and associated requests are received by the Colorado Coordinated Planning Group, who then review and redirect the request as necessary to ensure it is properly considered and responded to.

Ann Hendrickson asked about the dispatch of the wind in the study cases, and whether that is in relation to the nameplate or expected capacity factor.

Jim Farby responded that the wind dispatch in the study scenarios is dependent on the season as well as the intent of that scenario. Off peak scenarios often incorporate high renewables at or near the nameplate rating, while summer peak scenarios often assume a certain capacity factor that is a fraction of the nameplate.

*Chris Neil (OCC) had the following comments at the end of the presentation:*

- *30% reduction rate from 2012 emission levels based on the EPA rule: Has Black Hills incorporated that into the study?*

Jim Farby responded that we have not incorporated any impacts of the EPA Rule into the 2014 study process at this point, and that further coordination with other departments within the company is needed before additional study changes are made.

Jim asked for further questions or comments from the meeting participants. There being none, the TCPC meeting adjourned.